IN THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR THE STATE OF FLORIDA

IN RE:

MITIGATING MEASURES IN RESPONSE TO COVID-19 & OPERATIONAL PLAN FOR RESUMPTION OF COURT PROCEEDINGS AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 2.39 - Fourth Amended -

WHEREAS, in response to the outbreak of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order No. 20-52 on March 9, 2020, declaring a state of emergency, and, subsequently, on April 1, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida entered his "Safer at Home" Executive Order Number 20-91; and

WHEREAS, in AOSC20-12 (issued March 11, 2020) and AOSC20-13 (issued March 16, 2020), the Florida Supreme Court expressed that preparing for the impact of COVID-19 on court operations is a high priority for the Florida State Court System, and directed that all chief judges of the circuit courts take such mitigating measures as may be necessary to address the effects of the COVID-19 outbreak on their respective courts, while keeping the courts open to the fullest extent consistent with public safety; and

WHEREAS, in AOSC20-13, the Florida Supreme Court temporarily suspended grand jury proceedings, jury selection proceedings, and criminal and civil jury trials, and temporarily suspended all time periods involving the speedy trial procedure, in criminal and juvenile court proceedings; and

WHEREAS, in AOSC20-13, the Florida Supreme Court temporarily suspended all rules of procedure, court orders, and opinions applicable to court proceedings that limit or prohibit the use of communication equipment for the conducting of proceedings by remote electronic means; and

WHEREAS, on March 17, 2020, the Florida Supreme Court issued AOSC20-15, which: (1) required that all circuit and county courts continue to perform specifically designated essential court proceedings and proceedings critical to the state of emergency or the public health emergency, and permitted the chief judge to determine that additional proceedings are essential or critical to the state of emergency or the public health emergency; (2) required circuits to employ all methods practicable to minimize the risk of COVID-19 exposure to individuals involved in essential court proceedings and proceedings critical to the state of emergency or the public health emergency, or the general public; and (3) directed that all non-essential and non-critical court proceedings and events be rescheduled, postponed, or cancelled unless the chief judge determines that such other specific proceedings or events can be effectively conducted remotely using telephonic or other electronic means available without the necessity of in-person court appearances; and

WHEREAS, on March 18, 2020, the Florida Supreme Court issued AOSC20-16, which relaxed the requirements for oaths and permitted parties and witnesses to be sworn in remotely; and

I certify this document to be a true and correct copy of the record on file in my office Linda Doggath, Clerk Circuit/ County (Your), Fig. County, Fil

By: Deputy Ciert

WHEREAS, on March 18, 2020, in response to the prior Florida Supreme Court Administrative Orders, I, as Chief Judge, issued local Administrative Order 2.39, *In re: Mitigating Measures in Response to COVID-19*, to establish essential and critical court proceedings and the manner in which to handle them, preferably remotely, but, if not possible, in person with precautions taken. Also, in accordance with the prior Florida Supreme Court Administrative Orders, local Administrative Order 2.39 directed that all non-essential and non-critical court proceedings be suspended, *unless* the matter could effectively be conducted remotely using communication equipment and *with the approval of the Chief Judge*; and

WHEREAS, on March 24, 2020 the Florida Supreme Court issued AOSC20-17, which was intended to combine and extend the temporary measures implemented in the previous Administrative Orders involving COVID-19, specifically AOSC20-13, AOSC20-15, and AOSC20-16. In AOSC-17, the Florida Supreme Court included a section entitled "MAINTAINING WORKFLOW AS FEASIBLE," which stated that "[t]o maintain judicial workflow to the maximum extent feasible, chief judges are directed to take all possible steps to facilitate conducting proceedings with the use of technology," and further stating that "[t]hese emergency measures are necessary to ensure public health and safety during this unprecedented pandemic; however, the constitutional right of access to the courts by the public must be considered by the presiding judge in all cases." (emphasis added); and

WHEREAS, subsequent to issuing local AO 2.39, and being mindful of the subsequently issued Florida Supreme Court Administrative Orders, I, as Chief Judge, issued memorandums dated March 20, 2020 (Re: COVID-19 – Essential Court Proceedings – Jail Management), March 27, 2020 (Re: COVID-19 – Courts Remain Open with Limitations to Mitigate effects of COVID-19), April 16, 2020 (Re: COVID-19 – Courts Remain Open with Limitations to Mitigate effects of COVID-19 – AMENDED), and April 24, 2020 (Re: COVID-19 – Courts Remain Open with Limitation to Mitigate effects of COVID-19 – SECOND AMENDED), for the purpose of clarifying and modifying the prior list of essential or critical court proceedings, as well as non-essential and non-critical proceedings, with updated instructions as to how those proceedings should be handled; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to issuing AOSC20-17, the Florida Supreme Court issued several more Administrative Orders related to COVID-19, which culminated in the issuance on April 6, 2020, of AOSC20-23, *In re: Comprehensive COVID-19 Emergency Measures for the Florida State Courts*; and

WHEREAS, on April 29, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-112, initiating Phase 1 of the "Safe. Smart. Step-by-Step. Plan for Florida's Recovery," which lifted many restrictions on individual activities and businesses previously imposed (except for in Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach counties), with limitations and precautions to be taken, while still strongly encouraging senior citizens and individuals with a significant underlying medical condition to stay at home; and

WHEREAS, on May 4, 2020, the Florida Supreme Court issued an amended version of AOSC20-23, *In re: Comprehensive COVID-19 Emergency Measures for the Florida State Courts*, which revised portions of the original AOSC20-23; and

WHEREAS, on May 8, 2020, in response to the Florida Supreme Court's amended version of AOSC20-23, I, as Chief Judge, issued an amended version of local Administrative/

Order 2.39, In re: Mitigating Measures in Response to COVID-19, so as to comport with the amendments made by the Florida Supreme Court; and

WHEREAS, and on May 14, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-123, bringing all Florida counties into Full Phase 1 recovery.¹

WHEREAS, on May 21, 2020, the Florida Supreme Court issued a second amended version of AOSC20-23, *In re: Comprehensive COVID-19 Emergency Measures for the Florida State Courts*, with the intent to extend, refine, and strengthen previously enacted temporary remedial measures; and

WHEREAS, on May 21, 2020, the Florida Supreme Court issued AOSC20-32, *In re:* COVID-19 Public Health and Safety Precautions for Phase 2, establishing four (4) phases of the pandemic specifically related to the Florida State Court System, adopting findings and recommendations on the continuation of all court operations and proceedings statewide in a manner that protects health and safety, and, providing guidance for protective measures that will allow the progressive and safe return of judges, personnel, parties, counsel, jurors, and the public to court facilities; and

WHEREAS, in AOSC20-32, the Florida Supreme Court ordered that (1) Court reopening protocols and practices shall be guided by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommendations and align with guidance provided by the Florida Department of Health, county health departments, and local medical professionals, and (2) In order to transition to Phase 2 and expand in-person activities in a manner consistent with AOSC20-23, Amendment 2, each appellate court and each trial court must: (a) have met the five benchmark criteria provided in Workgroup's report, Requirements, Benchmarks, and Guidelines Governing the Transition to Limited In-Person Contact (Phase 2), (b) have developed an operational plan addressing, at a minimum, implementation of the requirements identified in the Workgroup's report, (c) have consulted with judges, court administrators, justice partners, county administrators, other building occupants, if any, and county health departments or local health experts in developing the operational plan, and (d) have filed the operational plan with the Office of the State Courts Administrator once finalized by the chief judge; and

WHEREAS, prior to June 1, 2020, the Courts of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit were initially in that which is essentially equivalent to the Florida Supreme Court's description of Phase 1 of resuming court proceedings and services; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the Florida Supreme Court's AOSC20-32, and for the benefit of the citizens of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit, and for the purpose of ensuring due process and access to the courts to the greatest extent possible while still ensuring safety from the threat of COVID-19, on May 29, 2020, I, as Chief Judge, issued a second amended version of local Administrative Order 2.39, In re: Mitigating Measures in Response to COVID-19, which included an operational plan for the Twentieth Judicial Circuit setting forth the benchmarks and phases for the resumption of court proceedings and services to Phase 2, at which time all five (5) counties of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit met the benchmarks for transitioning to Phase 2; and, as such, I, as Chief Judge, authorized court operations and proceedings for all courts of the

¹ The recovery phases established by the Governor for the executive branch and the State of Florida are distinct from the recovery phases established by the Florida Supreme Court for the judicial branch and the Florida State Courts System.

Twentieth Judicial Circuit to proceed to Phase 2 effective June 1, 2020; and

WHEREAS, on August 12, 2020, the Florida Supreme Court issued a sixth amended version of AOSC20-23, *In re: Comprehensive COVID-19 Emergency Measures for the Florida State Courts*, with the intent to offer further updates and clarification; and

WHEREAS, on August 12, 2020, the Florida Supreme Court issued a third amended version of AOSC20-32, *In re: COVID-19 Public Health and Safety Precautions for Operational Phase Transitions*, with the intent to incorporate the new recommendations of the Workgroup on the Continuity of Court Operations and Proceedings During and After COVID-19 in the modified report titled *Requirements, Benchmarks, and Guidelines Governing Operational Phase Transitions*, dated August 6, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the report titled *Requirements, Benchmarks, and Guidelines Governing Operational Phase Transitions* was modified, in part, to: amend the benchmark criteria for transition from Phase 1 to Phase 2; require a human resources policy to address potential COVID-19 exposure for court employees and judges; update the health screening requirements for entry into a courthouse; and, amend the requirements for reverting to and returning from a previous operational phase; and

WHEREAS, on August 21, 2020, I, as Chief Judge, issued a third amended version of local Administrative Order 2.39, *In re: Mitigating Measures in Response to COVID-19*, for the purpose of updating the operational plan for the Twentieth Judicial Circuit, so as to comport with the Florida Supreme Court's sixth amended version of AOSC20-23 and the third amended version of AOSC20-32; and

WHEREAS, the State Surgeon General and State Health Officer renewed the declaration that a public health emergency exists in Florida on April 20, 2021, the Governor extended the declaration of a state of emergency in Florida on April 27, 2021, and the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services renewed the determination that a public health emergency exists in the United States effective as of April 21, 2021; and

WHEREAS, on May 3, 2021, the Governor entered Executive Order Nos. 21-101 and 21-102, which served to suspend and invalidate all existing and remaining local emergency restrictions on individuals and businesses; and

WHEREAS, on May 6, 2021, the Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court issued a thirteenth amended version of AOSC20-23, *In re: Comprehensive COVID-19 Emergency Measures for the Florida State Courts*, with the intent to extend, refine, and modify previously enacted temporary remedial measures; and

WHEREAS, on May 6, 2021, the Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court issued an eighth amended version of AOSC20-32, *In re: COVID-19 Public Health and Safety Precautions for Operational Phase Transitions*, with the intent to incorporate the recommendations of the Workgroup on the Continuity of Court Operations and Proceedings During and After COVID-19, in the report titled *Requirements, Benchmarks, and Guidelines Governing Operational Phase Transitions*, as revised by the Office of the State Courts Administrator at the direction of the Chief Justice, dated May 6, 2021;



NOW, THEREFORE, in accordance with the authority vested in the Chief Judge pursuant to Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.215, and pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's eighth amended Administrative Order AOSC20-32, I, as Chief Judge of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit, hereby adopt the below Amended Operational Plan to comport with the changes adopted by the Florida Supreme Court's eighth amended Administrative Order AOSC20-32, with the ongoing goal of resumption of court proceedings and services, and with the ongoing intent that court operations and proceedings shall remain in Phase 2, upon having already met all Phase 2 benchmarks as required by the Florida Supreme Court.

COURTS OF THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT AMENDED OPERATIONAL PLAN FOR RESUMPTION OF COURT PROCEEDINGS AND SERVICES: PHASE 1 & PHASE 2

- I. <u>PHASE 1</u> Prior to the issuance of second amended local Administrative Order 2.39 on May 29, 2020 and prior to June 1, 2020, the Courts of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit were in that which is essentially defined as Phase 1, where in-person contact is inadvisable, access to court facilities is extremely limited, and in-person proceedings are limited to essential or critical court proceedings that cannot otherwise be conducted remotely.
 - A. <u>PHASE 1 ESSENTIAL OR CRITICAL COURT PROCEEDINGS</u> The following matters are deemed essential or critical court proceedings which the circuit and county courts are required to continue performing during Phase 1:
 - 1. First appearance hearings;
 - 2. Criminal arraignments, as necessary;
 - 3. Hearings on motions to set or modify monetary bail for individuals who are in custody:
 - 4. Juvenile dependency shelter and arraignment hearings, including shelter reviews:
 - 5. Juvenile delinquency detention and arraignment hearings, as necessary;
 - 6. Hearings on petitions for temporary injunctions relating to safety of an individual;
 - 7. Hearings on petitions for risk protection orders;
 - 8. Hearings on petitions for the appointment of an emergency temporary guardian;
 - 9. Hearings to determine whether an individual should be involuntarily committed under the Baker Act or the Marchman Act;
 - Hearings on petitions for extraordinary writs as necessary to protect constitutional rights;
 - 11. Hearings on petitions for judicial waiver of notice pursuant to section 390.01114(4), Florida Statutes; and
 - 12. Hearings related to the state of emergency or the public health emergency, including but not limited to proceedings related to violation of quarantine or isolation, violation of orders to limit travel, violation of orders to close public or private buildings, and enforcement of curfew orders.
 - 13. For Jail Management Purposes:
 - In-custody change of plea hearings that may result in a release



- from incarceration.
- In-custody hearings on motions to modify a sentence that may result in a release from incarceration.
- In-custody VOP Advisement hearings that may result in a plea and release from incarceration.

B. PHASE 1 - HANDLING OF ESSENTIAL OR CRITICAL COURT PROCEEDINGS

- Essential or critical court proceedings shall be handled by the divisional judge, magistrate, hearing officer, or duty judge who would normally hear the matter.
- 2. When possible, essential court proceedings shall be held remotely using communication equipment.
- 3. However, these essential or critical court proceedings do allow for inperson court appearances as long as all available methods of "social distancing" are being taken to minimize the risk of COVID-19 exposure to individuals involved in the proceedings or the general public.
- 4. For essential court proceedings involving in-custody defendants, the incustody defendants shall appear remotely utilizing communication equipment. No inmate housed in any jail facility is to be transported to any courthouse absent a written order from the Chief Judge.
- 5. Baker Act and Marchman Act proceedings may be conducted remotely utilizing communication equipment as long as the judicial or quasi-judicial officer finds that holding the hearing remotely would not cause a violation of a party's right to due process.
- 6. For in-person hearings, the only persons allowed in the courtrooms are parties, attorneys, victims, witnesses, court reporter, court interpreter, court/clerk/sheriff personnel whose presence is essential (including case managers, deputy clerks and bailiffs) and the media, subject to the approval of the presiding judge and subject to all available "social distancing" methods.
- 7. In addition, while in Phase 1, the only persons (other than employees) allowed entry into the courthouse itself, for in-person hearings or otherwise, are parties, attorneys, victims, witnesses, court reporter, court interpreter, court/clerk/sheriff personnel whose presence is essential (including case managers, deputy clerks and bailiffs), the media, and persons with official court business. No one shall be permitted to accompany persons who are otherwise allowed in the courthouse, and there shall be no loitering. At a minimum, and in accordance with CDC guidelines, all persons entering any public area of the courthouse, without exception, shall wear a face mask or appropriate face covering and all efforts shall be made to ensure six-feet of social distancing between all persons (with the exception of persons with children or within a single family unit). Any person, regardless of status, who is exhibiting symptoms consistent with COVID-19, or any person who has traveled or has knowingly been in contact with another person who is suffering from the effects of the COVID-19 virus, is prohibited from entering any courthouse. Court Bailiffs, local Sheriff Deputies, and employee or



contract security officers are hereby granted the authority to conduct health screens, conduct no-contact temperature checks, and enforce all social distancing guidelines and to eject persons from courtrooms, courthouses, or court facilities in accordance with this Administrative Order. (The requirements and procedures for social distancing and face masks under Phase 2 applicable to in-person court proceedings shall apply equally to Phase 1 throughout the entire courthouse, both public and private areas.)

C. PHASE 1 - NON-ESSENTIAL OR NON-CRITICAL COURT

PROCEEDINGS – The following non-essential or non-critical court proceedings are deemed amenable to being conducted remotely during Phase 1:

- 1. Alternative dispute resolution proceedings;
- 2. Status, case management, and pretrial conferences in all case types;
- 3. Non-evidentiary and evidentiary motion hearings in all case types;
- 4. Arraignments and pleas in absentia in county court misdemeanor cases;
- 5. Hearings in juvenile delinquency cases;
- 6. Hearings in noncriminal traffic infraction cases;
- 7. Hearings in involuntary commitment of sexually violent predator cases;
- 8. Problem-solving court staffings, hearings, and wellness checks; and
- 9. Non-jury trials in all case types, except for:
 - Criminal cases unless the parties in such case agree to the remote conduct of a non-jury trial; or
 - Termination of parental rights or juvenile delinquency cases, unless the chief judge or the presiding judge in the case determines that the non-jury trial should proceed remotely.

D. PHASE 1 - HANDLING OF NON-ESSENTIAL OR NON-CRITICAL PROCEEDINGS

- Non-essential or non-critical court proceedings shall be handled by the divisional judge, magistrate, hearing officer, or duty judge who would normally hear the matter.
- 2. All of the above-listed non-essential or non-critical court proceedings *shall* proceed and shall be held to the fullest extent possible.
- 3. In accordance with the directive of the Florida Supreme Court, each of the above-listed non-essential or non-critical proceedings <u>shall</u> be conducted using telephonic or other remote electronic means available, unless a judge determines that remote conduct of the proceeding is inconsistent with the United States or Florida Constitution, a statute or a rule of court that has not been suspended by administrative order, or would be infeasible because the court, the clerk or other participant in a proceeding lacks the technological resources necessary to conduct the proceedings, or, for reasons directly related to the state of emergency or the public health emergency, lacks the staff resources necessary to conduct the proceeding.
- 4. The above-listed non-essential or non-critical proceedings *shall not* be conducted through in-person hearings during Phase 1.

- E. PHASE 1 JURIES In accordance with the directives of the Florida Supreme Court, non-statewide grand jury selection and proceedings, civil jury selection and trial proceedings, and criminal jury selection and trial proceeding shall remain suspended until thirty (30) days after the circuit, or county within a circuit, has transitioned to Phase 2.
- II. <u>PHASE 2</u> Upon meeting the five (5) benchmarks established in AOSC20-32 (Amendment 3)², the courts of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit transitioned to Phase 2 effective June 1, 2020, where limited in-person contact is authorized for certain purposes and/or requires use of protective measures. To the extent possible, the courts of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit will continue to use technology, such as teleconferencing and videoconferencing, and will continue to explore additional technology to facilitate the remote conduct of proceedings as an alternative to in-person proceedings so as to limit and minimize person-to-person contact.
 - A. PHASE 2 TRANSITIONING CRITERIA FIVE BENCHMARKS In accordance with the five benchmarks established by the Florida Supreme Court in AOSC20-32 (Amendment 3), which is consistent with the criteria utilized by the White House (Opening Up America Again plan), Governor DeSantis (Re-Open Florida), the CDC, and the U.S. Courts, the following criteria shall be met prior to the Courts of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit transitioning to Phase 2.³
 - No confirmed or suspected cases of COVID-19 in the courthouse within a 14-day period; or if confirmed or suspected cases have occurred in the courthouse, deep cleaning and disinfecting of exposed areas have been completed and applicable employees have been directed to self-isolate or quarantine.
 - Lee County there have been no confirmed or suspected cases of COVID-19 in the courthouse within the past 14 days, or, in response to any confirmed or suspected cases, deep cleaning and disinfecting of exposed areas and applicable employee selfisolation or quarantine actions have been taken – CRITERIA MET.
 - Collier County there have been no confirmed or suspected cases of COVID-19 in the courthouse within the past 14 days, or, in response to any confirmed or suspected cases, deep cleaning and disinfecting of exposed areas and applicable employee selfisolation or quarantine actions have been taken – CRITERIA MET.
 - Charlotte County there have been no confirmed or suspected cases of COVID-19 in the courthouse within the past 14 days, or, in response to any confirmed or suspected cases, deep cleaning and disinfecting of exposed areas and applicable employee selfisolation and quarantine actions have been taken – CRITERIA MET.
 - Hendry County there have been no confirmed or suspected cases of COVID-19 in the courthouse within the past 14 days, or, in

³ The Courts of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit met these criteria and transitioned to Phase 2 effective June 1, 2020.



² In Amendment 8 to AOSC20-32, issued May 6, 2021, the Chief Justice made no significant changes to the benchmark criteria for transitioning from Phase 1 to Phase 2.

- response to any confirmed or suspected cases, deep cleaning and disinfecting of exposed areas and applicable employee self-isolation and quarantine actions have been taken CRITERIA MET.
- Glades County there have been no confirmed or suspected cases of COVID-19 in the courthouse within the past 14 days, or, in response to any confirmed or suspected cases, deep cleaning and disinfecting of exposed areas and applicable employee selfisolation and quarantine actions have been taken – CRITERIA MET.
- 2. No local or state restrictive movement or stay-at-home orders that limit the ability of individuals to leave their homes during the daytime.
 - Lee County on April 29, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-112, initiating Phase 1 of the "Safe. Smart. Step-by-Step. Plan" for Florida's Recovery, and on May 14, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-123, bringing all Florida counties into Full Phase 1. There are currently no state or local orders that would restrict movement and there are currently no stay-at-home orders in place CRITERIA MET.
 - Collier County on April 29, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-112, initiating Phase 1 of the "Safe. Smart. Step-by-Step. Plan" for Florida's Recovery, and on May 14, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-123, bringing all Florida counties into Full Phase 1. There are currently no state or local orders that would restrict movement and there are currently no stay-at-home orders in place CRITERIA MET.
 - Charlotte County on April 29, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-112, initiating Phase 1 of the "Safe. Smart. Step-by-Step. Plan" for Florida's Recovery, and on May 14, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-123, bringing all Florida counties into Full Phase 1. There are currently no state or local orders that would restrict movement and there are currently no stay-at-home orders in place CRITERIA MET.
 - Hendry County on April 29, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-112, initiating Phase 1 of the "Safe. Smart. Step-by-Step. Plan" for Florida's Recovery, and on May 14, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-123, bringing all Florida counties into Full Phase 1. There are currently no state or local orders that would restrict movement and there are currently no stay-at-home orders in place CRITERIA MET.
 - Glades County on April 29, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued Executive Order 20-112, initiating Phase 1 of the "Safe. Smart. Step-by-Step. Plan" for Florida's Recovery, and on May 14, 2020, the Governor of the State of Florida issued



Executive Order 20-123, bringing all Florida counties into Full Phase 1. There are currently no state or local orders that would restrict movement and there are currently no stay-at-home orders in place – CRITERIA MET.

- Improving COVID-19 health conditions over a 14-day period in the community.⁴ To meet this benchmark, condition a) or b) below must be met:
 - a) Both of the seven-day averages for new cases for the most recent two-week period must be 20 or fewer⁵ and both of the following measures must demonstrate two consecutive weeks of decline or stabilization⁶:
 - i. The seven-day averages for hospitalizations for the most recent two-week period; and
 - ii. The seven-day averages for ED visits for the most recent two-week period.
 - b) If either of the seven-day averages for new cases for the most recent two-week period exceed 20, then both of the following criteria must be met:
 - The seven-day averages for new cases for the most recent two-week period must demonstrate two consecutive weeks of decline or stabilization; and
 - ii. Both of the seven-day averages for the positivity rate for the most recent two-week period must be less than 10 percent. If not, then both of these averages must be less than 11 percent and both of the following measures must demonstrate two consecutive weeks of decline or stabilization:
 - a. The seven-day averages for hospitalizations for the most recent two-week period; and
 - b. The seven-day averages for ED visits for the most recent two-week period.

To determine whether benchmark 3 continues to be met, the Trial Court Administrator for the Twentieth Judicial Circuit will review data provided by OSCA for all five (5) counties on a weekly basis and will provide

⁴ The public health data will be provided by OSCA on a weekly basis. The data will provide seven-day averages at the county level for the most recent four-week period for the following four (4) measures: (a) The daily number of new positive COVID-19 cases ("new cases"); (b) The daily percentage of positive tests based on the total number of tests ("positivity rate"); (c) The daily number of hospitalizations for COVID-19 ("hospitalizations"); and (d) The daily number of emergency department visits for COVID-like illness ("ED visits").

⁵ Due to the lower rates of testing in smaller counties, positivity rates can be significantly increased by only one or two positive results. To account for this effect, the methodology authorizes counties having 20 or fewer new cases weekly for the most recent two-week period to consider the hospitalization and ED visit measures instead of positivity rates. Hendry and Glades counties generally qualify as smaller counties.

⁶ The phrase "two consecutive weeks of decline or stabilization" with respect to new cases, hospitalizations, and ED visits means that the measure's seven-day average for: (a) The most recent week is lower than or equal to the seven-day average for the measure for the prior week; and (b) The prior week is lower than or equal to the seven-day average for the measure for the week that is two weeks prior to the most recent week.

recommendations based on that data to the Chief Judge for consideration as to whether any particular county should revert to Phase 1 or, alternatively, whether modifications should be made to the Operational Plan as it relates any particular county. Effective June 1, 2020, all five counties of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit proceeded to Phase 2 based upon the criteria established by the Florida Supreme Court at that time. As such, it should be presumed that each county continues to meet the criteria of benchmark 3, unless, based on the weekly data, the Chief Judge issues a written directive reflecting that a county (or counties) do not meet the criteria for benchmark 3 for that particular week, with instructions that the county (or counties) are to revert back to Phase 1 or, alternatively, are to follow specific modifications to the Operational Plan as may be outlined in the written directive.

- 4. Sufficient availability of COVID-19 tests to meet community needs.
 - Lee County Current Testing Sites CRITERIA MET.
 For updates: https://www.leegov.com/covid-19/testing and https://cityftmyers.com/1909/Vaccine-Infor-Testing-Locations
 - CenturyLink Sports Complex in Fort Myers (State supported testing site)
 - North Fort Myers Walmart
 - > FDOH Michigan Avenue Clinic
 - Lehigh Acres Walmart
 - > Fort Myers Walmart
 - > Lee Convenient Care, Page Field
 - ➤ Mobile Collection Site Cape Coral
 - Collier County Current Testing Sites CRITERIA MET.
 For updates: https://floridahealthcovid19.gov/testing-sites/?display_map=1&county=Collier&city= and https://www.naplesgov.com/covid19
 - ➤ Sun-N-Fun Lagoon
 - > FDOH Immokalee site
 - > FDOH Naples site
 - Charlotte County Current Testing Sites CRITERIA MET.
 For updates: https://www.charlottecountyfl.gov/covid-19/testing.stml and https://www.ci.punta-gorda.fl.us/i-want-to/advanced-components/covid-19-microsite
 - Charlotte Sports Complex
 - > Publix at Port Charlotte Crossing
 - > CVS Pharmacy, 2400 Tamiami Trail, Punta Gorda
 - Family Health Centers of Southwest Florida
 - > Robert Taylor Community Center Clinic in Sarasota
 - Hendry and Glades Counties Current Testing Sites CRITERIA MET.

⁷ Rather than potentially amending this local Administrative Order and Operational Plan on a weekly basis due to anticipated weekly changes in the data and the ability of each individual county to meet benchmark 3, the Chief Judge of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit will issue a separate written directive as may be necessary or appropriate which shall be treated as stand-alone directive to be read in conjunction with this local Administrative Order and Operational Plan.



For updates: https://floridahealthcovid19.gov/testing-sites/ and https://floridahealthcovid19.gov/testing-sites/ and https://floridahealthcovid19.gov/testing-sites/ and <a href="https://floridahea

- > FDOH Moore Haven (Mondays only)
- > FDOH Clewiston (Wednesdays only)
- > FDOH LaBelle (Fridays only)
- ➤ Harlem Civic Center in Clewiston (Sundays only)
- > Forbes Family Medicine
- > Hendry Regional Medical Center in Clewiston
- > Hendry Convenient Care
- > Florida Community Health Centers
- 5. Consultation with other building occupants and with justice system partners (including, but not limited to, clerk of court, state attorney, public defender, law enforcement, local bar, and others necessary to resume certain case types, such as the Department of Children and Families).
 - Since mid-March 2020, the Chief Judge of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit has held weekly telephone conferences every Friday⁸ at noon to provide information and to discuss pandemic related issues and solutions, most recently including the transition from Phase 1 to Phase 2. Participants include, representatives from all five counties, including Administrative Judges, court managers, the State Attorney, the Public Defender, the Regional Counsel, Clerks, County staff/administrators, County Sheriffs, Department of Children and Families, Department of Corrections, and representatives of the private bar. A subcommittee was created to make recommendations to the Chief Judge, and the subcommittee included the State Attorney, the Public Defender, the Regional Counsel, representatives of the private bar, the Clerks, and the Trial Court Administrator. Prior to the weekly telephone conference held on Friday, May 22, 2020, participants were provided with a copy of AOSC20-32, and the telephone conference included discussions of preparations for proceeding to Phase 2, and questions and input were invited. Recommendations by the subcommittee have been made throughout this process and, most recently, have been made as to which limited in-person hearings should be prioritized once the Courts transition to Phase 2 – CRITERIA MET.
- B. PHASE 2 OPERATIONAL PLAN In developing this operational plan⁹, the following county health departments and/or local health experts have been consulted as to the content of this Operational Plan and have indicated no objections:
 - Lee County Angela M. Smith, BS, MHA, Administrator of the Florida Department of Health in Lee County (DOH-Lee).



⁸ As of May 2021, the meetings have been reduced to every other Friday.

⁹ These consultations took place prior to transitioning to Phase 2 on June 1, 2020.

- Collier County Kristine Hollingsworth, Assistant to the Administrator, on behalf of Stephanie Vick, M.S., B.S.N., R.N. Administrator of the Florida Department of Health in Collier County (DOH-Collier).
- Charlotte County Dr. Joseph Pepe, Ed. D., MSA, Administrator of the Florida Department of Health in Charlotte County (DOH-Charlotte).
- Hendry County Dr. Joseph Pepe, Ed. D., MSA, Administrator of the Florida Department of Health in Hendry County (DOH-Hendry).
- Glades County Dr. Joseph Pepe, Ed. D., MSA, Administrator of the Florida Department of Health in Glades County (DOH-Glades).
- 1. Remote Hearings To the extent possible, all essential/critical and non-essential/non-critical proceedings shall be conducted remotely via teleconferencing, videoconferencing, or other means, unless litigants or other court participants are unable to successfully participate in a remote hearing for reasons beyond the court's control. Hybrid hearings (concurrently in-person and remotely) may be conducted, if appropriate. When a judge or quasi-judicial officer is conducting a remote hearing from a courtroom, essential court/clerk staff may also be present in the courtroom, with social distancing. To the extent possible and for the purpose of promoting consistency, judges within the same division should make every effort to establish uniform procedures and forms necessary for conducting remote hearings.
- Remote Work As per Florida Supreme Court AOSC20-32
 (Amendment 8), employees of the Administrative Office of the Courts of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit are no longer permitted to work remotely based upon COVID-19.
- 3. <u>Human Resources Policy</u> The purpose of this policy is to address potential COVID-19 exposure in the workplace, which shall apply to court employees, including judicial assistants, and judges who enter a courthouse to perform their work.
 - a) <u>Judges exhibiting COVID-19 symptoms</u> Judges exhibiting COVID-19 symptoms are required to notify the Chief Judge and are expected to either arrange for a COVID-19 test or to self-isolate for at least 10 days. See below section e) of this Human Resources Policy.
 - 1) The Chief Judge will notify the Trial Court Administrator and report the following for contact tracing purposes:
 - i. Last day the judge worked in the office/courtroom.
 - ii. Places, locations, offices or courtrooms where they might have been when symptoms first appeared.
 - iii. Employees, stakeholders and/or agency personnel with whom they may have been in



direct contact (per CDC, "close contact" means they were within 6 feet of a person for at least 15 minutes).

- 2) The Trial Court Administrator will provide the HR Director the name of the judge and the contact tracing information.
- b) <u>Judicial Assistants and Court Employees exhibiting COVID-19 symptoms</u> Judicial Assistants and court employees exhibiting COVID-19 symptoms are required to notify their direct supervisor and the HR Department, and are expected to arrange for a COVID-19 test and can return upon receiving a negative test result. If a Judicial Assistant or employee does not want to get tested, they are required to self-isolate at home for at least 10 days and will need to utilize their own accrued leave while absent. See below section e) of this Human Resources Policy.
 - 1) The HR Department will obtain the following information for contact tracing purposes:
 - i. Last day worked in the office.
 - ii. Places, locations, offices, courtrooms where they might have been when symptoms first appeared.
 - iii. Employees, stakeholders and/or agency personnel with whom they may have been in direct contact (per CDC, "close contact" means they were within 6 feet of a person for at least 15 minutes).
 - 2) The availability of Emergency Paid Sick Leave (EPSLA) has expired under federal law.
- <u>Judges</u>, <u>Judicial Assistants and Court Employees who test</u> positive for COVID-19
 - Judges are required to self-isolate for at least 10 days and to notify the Chief Judge of positive test results along with any corresponding docket coverage needs while they are isolated. See below section e) of this Human Resources Policy.
 - Judicial Assistants and court employees are to notify the HR Department and are required to self-isolate for at least 10 days. See below section e) of this Human Resources Policy.
 - i. HR Director will notify the Trial Court Administrator of a positive result so the information can be shared with the justice partners.
 - Supervisor and/or HR will notify AOC's Court Operations to schedule a deep cleaning of the affected area(s).



- iii. The HR Department will notify the individuals who may have had close contact with a person with a confirmed case of COVID-19 and those Judges, Judicial Assistants and court employees are expected to arrange for a COVID-19 test and can return upon receiving a negative test result. See below section d) of this Human Resources Policy.
- iv. The availability of Emergency Paid Sick Leave (ESPLA) has expired under federal law.

d) Close Contact 10

- Judges, Judicial Assistants, and court employees must remain home and contact the Chief Judge or their direct supervisor, whichever is applicable, if they have had close contact with someone who has tested positive for COVID-19, or if they live with a household member who:
 - i. is exhibiting COVID-19 symptoms; or
 - was directed to be tested and is pending test results; or
 - iii. has tested positive for COVID-19.
- 2) Judges, Judicial Assistants, and court employees who have had close contact with someone who has tested positive for COVID-19, but does not live with that person as a household member, are expected to arrange for a COVID-19 test and can return upon receiving a negative test result. If a Judge, Judicial Assistant or employee does not want to get tested, they will be required to self-isolate at home for at least 10 days, and Judicial Assistants and court employees will need to utilize their own accrued leave while absent. See below section e) of this Human Resources Policy.
- 3) Judges, Judicial Assistants, and court employees who live with a household member who meets at least one of the above criteria are required to self-isolate at home for at least 10 days, unless the household member is awaiting pending test results and those results come back negative, after which the Judge, Judicial Assistant or court employee can return to work if no symptoms are or were ever exhibited by that Judge, Judicial Assistant or court employee, without waiting the entire 10 days. See below section e) of this Human Resources Policy.
- 4) If symptoms appear, please refer to above section a) and b) of this Human Resources Policy.

¹⁰ "Close contact" is defined as contact that is less than 6 feet for fifteen minutes or more, irrespective of whether a face mask or respiratory PPE was worn.

e) Returning to the Workplace

- Judges, Judicial Assistants, and court employees who test positive for COVID-19, or who had close contact as described above in section d) of this Human Resources Policy and stay home for the required period of selfisolation (either because of refusal to test or because contact involves a household member), can return to work using the symptom-based strategy under the following conditions:
 - at least 10 days have passed since symptoms
 first appeared or close contact occurred (or, if
 contact involves a household member, that
 household member has a negative test See
 above section d) of this Human Resources
 Policy); and
 - at least 24 hours have passed since last fever (if any) without the use of fever-reducing medications; and
 - iii. other symptoms (if any) have improved.
- 2) The HR Department will keep all test results and doctor notes confidential.
- Judges will contact the Chief Judge with a return to work date.
- 4) The HR Department will notify the Judge/supervisor and Trial Court Administrator with the Judicial Assistant's or court employee's return to work date.

f) <u>Judges</u>, <u>Judicial Assistants and/or Court Employees who</u> have been fully vaccinated ¹¹

- As per CDC guidance, Judges, Judicial Assistants, and employees who have been around someone who has COVID-19, but do not have any symptoms, will not be required to stay home or get tested.
- 2) As per CDC guidance, Judges, Judicial Assistants, and employees who have symptoms of COVID-19 are required to comply with section a) or b) of this Human Resources Policy, whichever is applicable, and are expected to arrange for a COVID-19 test. If a Judge, Judicial Assistant or a court employee does not want to get tested, they will be required to self-isolate at home for at least 10 days, and Judicial Assistants and court employees will need to utilize their own accrued leave while absent. See above section e) of this Human Resources Policy.

¹¹ As per the CDC, people are considered fully vaccinated two weeks after their second dose in a 2-dose series, such as the Pfizer or Moderna vaccines, or two weeks after a single-dose vaccine, such as Johnson & Johnson's Janssen vaccine.



- 4. <u>Health and Safety Screening</u> As per Florida Supreme Court AOSC20-32 (Amendment 8), while in Phase 2, health and safety screenings for employees or members of the public entering the courthouses within the Twentieth Judicial Circuit have been suspended.
- 5. Social Distancing The following social distancing guidelines shall be strictly enforced during Phase 1 in all areas of the courthouse, including areas of private circulation. In Phase 2, social distancing guidelines shall be strictly enforced only during in-person court proceedings, which include the assembly of prospective jurors, voir dire, juror deliberations, and any status conference, hearing, trial, or other proceeding conducted by a judge, magistrate, or hearing officer if conducted in person.
 - a) Current CDC social distancing guidelines recommend staying at least six feet from other people. ¹²
 - b) The Administrative Office of the Courts of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit will take all reasonable precautions and efforts to ensure that the CDC recommendation of maintaining six feet of social distance is enforced during in-person court proceedings.
 - c) Where practicable and appropriate, areas of the courthouse where in person court proceedings take place will be reconfigured and chairs, benches or other furniture will be removed or taped off so as to facilitate and enforce social distancing.
 - d) The galleries of all courtrooms in the Twentieth Judicial Circuit have been measured and the seating has been marked so as to ensure the required six feet of social distancing. In addition, a revised maximum capacity has been established and posted for all courtrooms and provides the maximum number of people allowed in the gallery of each courtroom at any one given time so as to allow for proper social distancing. This maximum capacity applies specifically to the gallery of each courtroom, and does not include judges, deputy clerks, bailiffs, court reporters or others within the well or bench area of the courtroom (i.e. attorneys and parties before the court at that time). The revised maximum capacities for the galleries of all courtrooms shall be strictly enforced. People scheduled to appear in person before the court may be asked to wait outside of the courtroom until their case is called.
 - e) So as to further facilitate social distancing, persons allowed in the courtrooms will be limited to those essential to the proceedings. Family members and friends who are not essential to the proceedings will not be allowed into the courtrooms.
 - f) All efforts shall be taken to schedule in-person hearings on a staggered schedule so as to avoid overcrowding in common areas such as hallways, restrooms, and elevators.

¹² Social distancing guidelines shall not be strictly applied to persons of a single family unit or household.



g) Court Bailiffs, local Sheriff Deputies, and employee or contract security officers are hereby granted the authority to enforce all social distancing guidelines and to eject persons from courtrooms or courthouses in accordance with this Administrative Order.

6. Hygiene Protocols and Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

- Hygiene protocols such as hand washing and covering coughs and sneezes will be encouraged.
- b) Visible signage in, at a minimum, English and Spanish and in compliance with the ADA, will be readily posted where appropriate reminding individuals of hygiene protocols, including hand washing, as well as social distancing during inperson court proceedings, directional guidance, and any changes to processes due to the pandemic.
- c) Hand sanitizer will be widely available for use throughout the courthouses, including inside courtrooms.
- d) Face masks completely covering the nose and mouth and that fit snugly around the nose, chin, and sides of the face are required for everyone during an in-person court proceeding, including judges, court staff, and employees of other agencies, with no exceptions.
- e) The Chief Judge may adopt a policy authorizing the use of clear face masks or cloth face masks that have clear plastic panels during in-person court proceedings. Like opaque face masks, clear face masks or cloth face masks that have clear plastic panels must completely cover the nose and mouth and fit snugly around the nose, chin, and sides of the face. If such a policy is adopted, it shall apply consistently across all in-person court proceedings in the same courthouse.
- f) If persons appearing during in-person court proceedings do not have a face mask or appropriate face covering, one will be provided to them at no cost, for as long as supplies last. The Administrative Office of the Courts will continue to diligently seek and procure an adequate supply of face masks, but there is much uncertainty as to the availability of all PPE. If the supply of masks has been exhausted and the person does not otherwise have a face mask, admittance will be denied to in-person court proceedings. Admittance will likewise be denied to any person who refuses to wear a face mask during in-person court proceedings.
- g) A face shield or other face covering protocol may be used in addition to a face mask but may not be used as an alternative to a face mask.
- h) To the extent that health experts have noted that proper hand hygiene is generally preferable to gloves, the wearing of protective gloves is not required within the courthouses, though it is encouraged for certain tasks requiring direct contact, such as bailiffs taking fingerprints of a defendant.

- i) CDC guidance on cleaning and disinfecting public spaces, workplaces, and other public locations shall be followed in all areas of the courthouses and court facilities publically accessible. This CDC guidance is available at: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/reopen-guidance.html.
- 7. <u>Judge and Court Staff Training</u> To the extent that training or other technical assistance to judges and court staff is necessary on changes required by the operational plan, such training or technical assistance will be provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts.
- 8. Other Building Occupants In establishing this Operational Plan, other building occupants and law enforcement have been consulted and are included in COVID-19 conference calls initiated by the Chief Judge.

9. Vulnerable Populations

- a) Vulnerable individuals are those over age 65 and individuals with serious underlying health conditions, such as high blood pressure, chronic lung disease, diabetes, obesity, asthma, and those whose immune systems are compromised such as by chemotherapy for cancer or other conditions requiring such therapy.
- b) If an in-person hearing is scheduled, and a person who meets the above definition of a "vulnerable individual" wishes to request an accommodation to avoid an in-person appearance, that person shall file a motion with a copy to the appropriate judicial office and all counsel or parties of record. The parties may stipulate to the granting of the motion, or a telephonic or videoconference hearing on the motion should be scheduled by the judicial office.
- c) When feasible and where due process rights are not negatively impacted, and if good cause is shown, a motion requesting accommodations should be granted to reduce the need for vulnerable individuals to appear in-person at the courthouse.
- d) If good cause is shown, but granting the requested accommodation is not feasible or would impact the right to due process, a non-essential or non-critical hearing should be continued. If the hearing is essential or critical, the judge and parties, with the assistance of the Administrative Office of the Courts, shall make every effort to schedule and conduct the inperson hearing in a manner so as to provide the maximum available safety precautions and social distancing, for example, providing a special set hearing, eliminating all other persons from the gallery of the courtroom, or providing a hybrid-type of accommodation.



10. Courthouse Areas and Security

- a) Interior
 - Only persons with scheduled proceedings will be allowed into in-person court proceedings. Family members or friends who are not essential to the proceeding or court business are strongly discouraged from entering the courthouses, and should preferably wait outside. Bringing children to the courthouses or court facilities is likewise discouraged, if possible.
 - Persons with scheduled proceedings or appointments are discouraged from entering the courthouse more than ten minutes prior to their scheduled proceeding or appointment, and are encouraged to immediately exit the building upon conclusion of their scheduled proceeding or appointment or other court business.
 - Physical barriers, such as sneeze guards and partitions already exist or shall be installed in spaces where an employee might come into close contact with large numbers of people, such as information desks or self-help windows.

b) Security

 Court Bailiffs, local Sheriff Deputies, and employee or contract security officers are hereby granted the authority to enforce all social distancing guidelines and the wearing of face masks in all in-person court proceedings and to eject persons from courtrooms or courthouses in accordance with this Administrative Order.

11. Cleaning and Disinfecting

- a) The Administrative Office of the Courts shall maintain a supply of hand sanitizer and disinfecting wipes and shall make them available to employees and throughout the courthouses, including courtrooms.
- b) Each county of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit has contractors to provide cleaning services to the buildings in which the courthouses are located. Those contractors are to clean and disinfect high traffic areas and frequently touched surfaces multiple times per day, including restrooms, elevators, and entry doors.
- c) Employees are encouraged to clean or disinfect shared equipment, such as copiers, before every use.
- d) Disinfecting wipes will be available in the courtrooms at counsel tables and podiums to be used by counsel or parties to clean shared surfaces.

C. PHASE 2 - PRIORITY OF NON-ESSENTIAL OR NON-CRITICAL

PROCEEDINGS – To the extent possible, during Phase 2, all essential/critical and non-essential/non-critical proceedings shall be conducted remotely via teleconferencing, videoconferencing, or other means. However, where non-essential court proceedings cannot be effectively or successfully conducted

remotely, below is the priority in which the trial court should conduct in-person or hybrid hearings. ¹³ Prior to scheduling in-person non-essential or non-critical court proceedings, judges shall consult with their Administrative Judge, who shall review and coordinate the priority of requested in-person dockets within their division to avoid contemporaneous court events, minimize overcrowding, and ensure social distancing measures to the fullest extent possible.

Civil

- a) Petitions to determine incapacity and other guardianship matters.
- b) Authorized ex parte matters, e.g., administrative, emergency, or settlement matters.
- c) Cases in which a statutory timeframe may soon expire.
- d) Actions subject to summary procedure under Chapter 51, Florida Statutes.
- e) Claims of exemption from a garnishment of wages.
- f) Approvals of settlements, structured settlements (e.g., annuities), and transfers of structured settlement payment rights.

2. Family Law/Unified Family Court

- a) Termination of parental rights trials, advisory hearings, and dependency arraignment hearings. The presiding judge shall have discretion as to the order in which the cases are tried with considerations that include, but are not limited to, the length of time the child has been in care, the age of the child, or the number of people involved in the case.
- b) Juvenile delinquency trials. The presiding judge shall have discretion as to the order in which the cases are tried.
- c) Child Support Contempt Hearings. Efforts shall be made to limit the number of cases that are set for each docket with the appropriate amount of time set between each hearing in order to limit congestion in the courtroom or hallways.
- d) Other family law court hearings that do not use mass calendar docketing, e.g., final judgments for dissolution of marriage, name changes, adoptions, or evidentiary hearings.

3. Criminal 14

- a) Sentencings or violation of probation or violation of community control hearings.
- b) Pleas, including out-of-custody pleas.

¹³ Each case is often unique on its own facts and, similarly, each of the proceedings listed may be qualitatively different from one case to the next. For these reasons, the priority of any given case listed herein may be dictated by factors including, but not limited to, the nature of the underlying charge, the stage of the case, or the potential consequences based on the outcome of the proceeding. Accordingly, the presiding judge shall have discretion in altering the priority of any given case listed herein based on the above referenced factors.

¹⁴The local workgroup provided recommendations as to which non-essential in-person criminal proceedings should be given the highest priority. The top three priorities should be given to: Violation of Probation Hearings, Out-of-Custody Pleas, and all Evidentiary hearings. Even though the Florida Supreme Court workgroup also created a recommended list for proceedings of medium and low importance, those proceedings are not at this time included as part of the Operation Plan for the Courts of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit for Phase 2.

- c) Evidentiary hearings.
- d) Motions to dismiss (e.g., C4, double jeopardy, or defect).
- e) Motions related to custody (e.g., pretrial detention, non-adversarial probably cause, adversarial preliminary hearings, 33-day motions, or post-trial release).
- f) Motions to suppress, if dispositive.
- g) Motions related to evidence, if dispositive (e.g., Williams rule/sex crimes, motions in limine, or Daubert issues)
- h) Stand Your Ground hearings.
- Motions and hearings (except status hearings) related to incompetence, mental health experts, intellectual disability, or insanity.
- Motions relating to indigent for costs or to appoint mitigation experts.
- k) Jimmy Ryce Act Proceedings (except for trial proceedings)

III. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- A. All other remedial measures established by the Florida Supreme Court or by this local Administrative Order shall remain in effect until vacated or superseded by subsequent order by either this Court or the Florida Supreme Court.
- B. This fourth amended Administrative Order supersedes all prior versions of this Administrative Order and shall be effective immediately and shall remain in effect until the dates established herein or until superseded by further order of this Court or the Florida Supreme Court.

D in chambers in For	t Myers, Lee County, Florida, this 14 ⁺
, 2021.	Michael T. McHugh Chief Judge

History. – Administrative Order 2.39 (March 18, 2020); Administrative Order 2.39 (amended May 8, 2020); Administrative Order 2.39 (second amended May 29, 2020); Administrative Order 2.39 (third amended August 21, 2020).

